

Message

From: CFD-SRT [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=3D7963607C714138900DD470D99B1174-CFD-SRT]
Sent: 7/30/2019 12:25:39 AM
BCC: woodruff@obgyn.ucsf.edu; cavallari@uchc.edu; berrocal@umich.edu; Edward Perkins [edward.j.perkins@usace.army.mil]; Robert Denver [rdenver@umich.edu]
Subject: TIME-SENSITIVE - IMPORTANT TSCA SACC - Potential AD HOC Member Request for responses to 4 Questions regarding "Impartiality": 5 chemical risk evaluations that will be reviewed by the TSCA SACC
Attachments: Four questions for multiple chemicals.docx; EPA3110-48exp6-30-2021fillableExtnd (002).docx; EPA3110-48exp6-30-2021fillableExtnd.pdf

Importance: High

Note: I've addressed this message to current FIFRA SAP or FQPA SRB or TSCA SACC ad hoc members, "bcc," to avoid inadvertent replies to all.

Greetings!

I believe all of you are either SGE or RGE members of the FIFRA Scientific Advisory Panel or Food Quality Protection Action Science Review Board (SRB). The SRB provides additional experts to compliment the permanent FIFRA SAP of seven members. The Toxic Substances Control Act (amended in 2016) mandated that the Agency set up a TSCA Scientific Advisory Committee on Chemicals (currently 21 members). Like the SRB, the Agency may supplement the technical expertise of the permanent TSCA SACC members. In that respect, I am asking for your interest and availability to participate as ad hoc members of the TSCA SACC for meetings scheduled in September, October, and December to address 7 draft risk evaluations under TSCA.

On December 19, 2016, EPA published a list of 10 chemical substances that are the subject of the Agency's initial chemical risk evaluations, as required by TSCA § 6(b)(2)(A). The docket numbers, problem formulations, scope documents and supplemental documents for the 10 chemical substances that EPA initiated the risk evaluation process for in December 2016 can be found in the following list. The TSCA SACC has reviewed the Agency's draft risk evaluation for Pigment Violet 29 and is currently reviewing the Agency's draft risk evaluations for 1,4-Dioxane and Cyclic Aliphatic Bromide Cluster (HBCD). Future meetings will focus on the Agency's draft risk evaluations for the remaining seven (7) chemicals: 1-bromopropane, methylene chloride, N-Methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP), perchloroethylene, trichloroethylene, carbon tetrachloride, and asbestos. Meetings are tentatively scheduled for September 9-13, 2019, October 21-25, 2019, and early December 2019.

- [Pigment Violet 29](#) (TSCA SACC meeting, June 2019)
- [1,4 Dioxane](#) (TSCA SACC meeting, July 29-Aug 2, 2019)
- [Cyclic Aliphatic Bromide Cluster \(HBCD\)](#) (TSCA SACC meeting, July 29-Aug 2, 2019)
- [1-Bromopropane](#) TBD
- [Methylene Chloride](#) TBD
- [N-Methylpyrrolidone](#) TBD
- [Perchloroethylene](#) TBD
- [Trichloroethylene](#) TBD
- [Carbon Tetrachloride](#) - TBD
- [Asbestos](#) - TBD

For planning purposes, please indicate your interest in participating as an ad hoc member of the TSCA SACC for review of the Agency's draft risk evaluations for 1-bromopropane, methylene chloride, N-Methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP), perchloroethylene, trichloroethylene, carbon tetrachloride, and asbestos. In addition, please indicate your availability for the following dates September 9-13, 2019 and October 21-25, 2019.

If you are interested and potentially available, **please respond to the four questions regarding “impartiality”** with respect to 1-bromopropane, methylene chloride, N-Methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP), perchloroethylene, and trichloroethylene (see Table below or word file attached).

A public announcement of the specific draft chemical risk evaluations for the September meeting will be published with the next 2 weeks; however, we need to make sure that there is a quorum of TSCA SACC members and adequate representation of expertise (additional ad hoc members) to address the Agency’s charge before the official announcement is published.

Most of you will have to update your Confidential Financial Disclosure Forms (EPA 3110-48) if you haven’t done so in the past 6 months (see attached form – Word version is provided, you need to provide a signed form – EPA has not yet accepted electronic time-stamped signatures). If you prefer to work with a fillable Adobe PDF I have attached that as well (you only need to complete one). If you are a federal employee, please complete the four questions and make sure I have the most current OGE 450 (for 2019).

Time is of the essence. Please contact me if you have questions or concerns.

Thank you.

Sharlene (mobile: 240-286-4365 (Fridays); office: 202-564-0130)

Sharlene R. Matten, Ph.D.
Senior Biologist
Office of Science Coordination and Policy
Exposure Assessment, Coordination, and Policy Division
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
Washington D.C. 20460
e-mail: matten.sharlene@epa.gov
Tel: 202-564-0130

Questions	1-Bromopropane	Methylene Chloride	N-Methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP)	Perchloroethylene	Trichloroethylene
(1) Do you know of any reason that you might be unable to provide impartial advice on the matter to come before the panel/committee/subcommittee or any reason that your impartiality in the matter might be questioned?					

(2) Have you had any previous involvement with the review document(s) under consideration including authorship, collaboration with the authors, or previous peer review functions? If so, please identify and describe that involvement.					
(3) Have you served on previous advisory panels, committees or subcommittees that have addressed the topic under consideration? If so, please identify those activities.					
(4) Have you made any public statements (written or oral) on the issue that would indicate to an observer that you have taken a position on the issue under consideration? If so, please identify those statements.					